

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/827,441		04/20/2004	Masaaki Tanizaki	501.43771X00	501.43771X00 2787	
20457	7590	02/07/2006		EXAM	INER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				ARTHUR JEANGL	ARTHUR JEANGLAUD, GERTRUDE	
SUITE 1800		TEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873				3661		

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/827,441	TANIZAKI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	3661

Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence add THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid about the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal.	andonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	andonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid at	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evided places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 (a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within on time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	e of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, we no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriative been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriation of the fee appropriation of the fee appropriation of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mon filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	ths of the date of he appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm non-allowable claim(s).	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will pecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attack REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	cnea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowed	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: GETTRUDE A. J PRIMARY E	A. <i>Lanzland</i> EANGLAUDE KAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment of 1/25/06 has new issues in the claims example for claim 3, it recites a map data for the search area, which is expanded in the vicinity of the predicted enroute stopping points, from a map; and correcting the width of the area based on latitude values of the routes as recited in claim 1; these recitations need further consideration and search.